IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

C. W. P. No. 18155 of 2006
Date of decision December 11, 2006

Nitika Taneja

.....Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Sciences and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL AND
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H. S. BHALLA

Present:- Sh. Bipan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Sh. T. S. Dhindsa, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

****

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 very fairly states that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by a judgment of this Court in C.W.P. No.5843 of 2004 (Assempreet Kundi and another Vs. State of Punjab and others) decided on March 8, 2006.

Learned counsel further informs the Court that in view of the directions issued vide order dated November 17, 2006, the petitioner has already been permitted to take the examination of third professional examination of BAMS course.

Keeping in view the fair stand taken by the learned counsel for the respondents, we allow the present petition in terms of Assempreet Kundi and another's case (supra). We further direct that the result of the petitioner of third professional examination of BAMS course

shall be declared in accordance with law.

The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

(H.S.BHALLA)
JUDGE

December 11, 2006
archana